1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney
2	MARK L. KROTOSKI (CASBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6	MATTHEW A. PARRELLA (NYSBN 2040855) JEFFREY D. NEDROW (CASBN 161299) JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285) Assistant United States Attorneys
7 8 9	450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7232 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Criminal No. CR 06-0725 SI
16	Plaintiff,)
17) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
18	v.) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME)
19	TREVOR GRAHAM,
20	Defendant.
21	
22	The characteristic and market are heldered to Court on December 15, 2006, for status
23	The above-captioned matter came before the Court on December 15, 2006, for status.
24	The defendant was represented by Gail Shifman, Esq., and the government was represented by
25	Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The mater was continued to January 26, 2007,
26	at 11:00 a.m. in this Court for further proceedings.
27	The Court made a finding that the time from and including December 15, 2006 through
28	January 26, 2007, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A),
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 06-0725 SI

Casase06:06:0070257255-SIDobouroemetrite21 Fiiled 1122/1129/2000 6Pagrage022of 2

because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until January 26, 2007,

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until January 26, 2007, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED: $\frac{/s}{GAII SHIFMAN}$

Counsel for Trevor Graham

DATED: 12/15/06 /s/ <u>JEFFREY FINIGAN</u>

Assistant U.S. Attorney

So ordered.

DATED:

SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 06-0725 SI